COMPARATIVE STUDY ON PROPERTIES OF INSULATING CONCRETE FOAMING (ICF) AND SOLID CONCRETE BLOCK

Mohammed Abdullah (10157)

Department of Built and Natural Environment, Caledonian College of Engineering, PO Box: 2322, CPO Seeb 111, Sultanate of Oman Email: <u>mohammed10157@cceoman.net</u>

Abstract. Insulating Concrete Foaming (ICF) system is an advance technique in construction of walls. ICF block wall consists of two layers of expanded polystyrene insulation connected with plastic hangers. This paper presents the comparative study of ICF block wall and solid block wall system based on the compressive strength and sound resistance. Three square models of reinforced Insulating concrete forms and three solid concrete blocks were casted. The objective of compressive strength test is to investigate the effect of compressive force action on two different blocks. Insulation quality of both the materials related to sound absorption can be determined with sound resistance test. This test was carried out by passing sound waves through existing walls constructed with ICF and solid concrete blocks. The experimental results show that the performance of ICF wall system is superior by comparing the solid block wall system.

Keyword. ICF block; Solid block; Sound resistance test; Compressive strength test

INTRODUCTION

Insulated Concrete Forms (ICFs) represents an innovative construction technique that provides an alternative to conventional concrete formwork commonly used in the construction industry. Not a new technology, ICFs have been utilized for over 20 years and have been gaining ground in concrete construction applications.

ICFs are hollow foam blocks or panels that are stacked to form the shape of an exterior wall of a building. Reinforcement and concrete are then placed inside of the foam form, thereby creating a foam-concrete-foam sandwich.

ICFs are used in a variety of construction applications, ranging from single unit residential homes to industrial buildings. This Evaluating report defines and identifies ICF Wall System. Include definition of physical components for flat ICF wall systems, minimum dimensional requirements and testing for construction use.

The comparative study of ICF block wall and solid block wall system based on the compressive strength and sound resistance. Three models (30cm x 30cm x 20cm) of reinforced Insulating concrete forms and three solid concrete blocks (20cm x 20cm x40cm) were casted and bought from factory respectively. The objective of compressive strength test is to investigate the effect of compressive force action on two different blocks. Acoustical properties of both the materials were determined with sound resistance test. This test was carried out by passing sound waves through walls constructed with ICF and solid concrete blocks.

The construction of ICF walls are structurally the same as cast-in place walls built removable forms; however, the foam forms are left in place. Structurally, the foam does not add to the engineered wall strength. The design of reinforced concrete walls is governed by the Bs8110-1997 Building Code Requirements for Reinforced Concrete.

METHODOLOGY

The figures shows the method which was followed to study the mechanical and physical properties of two different type of block.



Figure 1. Insulated concrete block with reinforcement



Figure 2. Insulated concrete block under compression test



Figure 3. Solid concrete block under compression test



Figure 4. Room made of solid block



Figure 5. Room made of Insulated concrete blocks



Figure 6. Checking sound passing through room made of Insulated concrete blocks.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mix Ratio

Mix ratio of concrete of grade C_{30} was calculated and maximum coarse aggregate size was kept at 10mm as the molds size was not big to support larger size of aggregates.

Table 1.	Concrete	Mix	Ratio
Lanc L.	Concience	TATT	nauv

Water	Cement	Fine Aggregates	Coarse Aggregates
0.54	1	1.82	2.16

S.No.	F – Max Force(kN)
1	669.6
2	723.3
3	949.9
Ave	780.9

 Table 2. Cube (Compression Test)

Ave Compressive Strength $f_c = \frac{F}{A_c} = \frac{780.9 \times 10^8}{150 \times 150} = 34.7 \text{N/mm}^2$

F = maximum load at failure

 $f_c = Compressive Strength$

 $A_c = Cross$ sectional area of specimen where force acts

ICF Block (Compression Test)

Dimension $-300x300x200 \text{ mm}^3$

Table 2. ICF block (Compression Test)		
S.No.	F - Max Force(kN)	
1	265	
2	275.3	
3	575.3	
Ave	371.86	

Ave Compressive Strength = $f_c = \frac{F}{A_c} = \frac{371.86X \, 10^8}{300 \, X \, 200} = 6.2 \, \text{N/mm}^2$

F = maximum load at failure

 $f_c = Compressive Strength$

 $A_c = Cross$ sectional area of specimen where force acts

Solid Concrete Block (Compression Test)

Dimension $-200x400x200 \text{ mm}^3$

Table 3	Solid	Concrete	Block	(Com	nression	Test)
I ADIC J	. Sonu	Concrete	DIUCK	(COM	DI C221011	1031	,

Tuble 5. Bond Concrete Diver (Compression Test)		
S.No.	F - Max Force(kN)	
1	201.1	
2	182.7	
3	198.4	
Ave	194	

Ave Compressive Strength = $f_c = \frac{F}{A_c} = \frac{194 \times 10^3}{400 \times 200} = 2.425 \text{ N/mm}^2$

F = maximum load at failure

 $f_c = Compressive Strength$

$A_c = Cross$ sectional area of specimen where force acts

ICF Block Room (Sound Test)

Dimension - 800x1200x900 mm³

Table 4. ICF Block Room* (Sound Test)			
S.No.	Sound inside room (dBA)	Sound outside room (dBA)	
1	119.9	85.5	
2	120.6	86.1	
3	120.3	88.3	
Ave	120.26	86.6	

Solid Concrete Block Room (Sound Test) Dimension - 1200x1200x1000 mm³

Table 5. Solid Concrete Block Room* (Sound Test)			
S.No.	Sound inside room (dBA)	Sound outside room (dBA)	
1	119.9	95.0	
2	120.6	91.3	
3	120.3	93.8	
Ave	120.26	93.3	

..... _ _ _ _ _ _

*Source of sound was placed inside the room and reading was measured outside the room. Distance of measuring device to source was 0.5 meter.

The design of concrete mix was done for C_{30} but the results showed the average compressive strength to be 34.7N/mm². The results could have been close to 30N/mm² if the last reading for the compressive strength wasn't high. That error in reading could have been due to error in setting of UTM machine or else the cube which showed high compressive strength was casted much more effectively compared to other cubes. The test for compression of solid concrete blocks showed strength of 2.425N/mm². The results of compression test of ICF block showed strength of 6.2N/mm². Compressive strength of ICF block was higher than the Solid Concrete block. This showed that ICF blocks are not only easy to install but it can be used to build strong and durable structures. The sound absorption test made on solid concrete block and ICF block rooms proves that the sound insulation level of room made by ICF blocks were higher than the room made of solid concrete blocks.

CONCLUSION

ICF building technology has decrease many construction cost, reduce the overall job completion time. Increase the safety of construction process and lower ongoing operational cost. ICF walls can bear substantial loads vertically and horizontally. The finished ICF structure is highly energy efficient, remarkably comfortable, quiet and very safe from extreme weather conditions. Middle East has to market this line of product to the consumer by telling about the significance and advantages of ICFs. As it structure is light, cost efficient, safer and strong. This research has increased my knowledge on adopting green building initiative. ICF Allows architects, owners and constructors to build light weight form structure. ICFs built strong, energy efficient, confortable and environment friendly home. The comparative study between two blocks proved that ICF block is superior to solid block mechanically as well as physically.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to take this opportunity to convey my heartfelt gratitude and intense regards to my college Supervisor Mr. N.Aravind for his admonitory guidance, and faithful encouragement throughout the dissertation. The guidance, supervision and support given by his time to time truly helped the development and progression of this thesis. I am grateful for his co-operation given for this project which is comprehended a lot.

I also want to thank this significant opportunity to explicit my intense sense of appreciation to my industry supervisor **Mr. David Chartey**, Plant manager, Innovative Buildings LLC, for his sincere support and supervision. He has provided all the valuable information's and details through time to time in various stages which helped me in making out this thesis. I am also thankful to all the staff members of Innovative Buildings LLC, for the support and important information offered by them in their respective designations.

Lastly, I am grateful to divine Almighty, my parents and all my friends for their grateful appreciation and encouragement without which this dissertation would not be a reality. I would also like **Mrs. Soleen** for her support when I was conducting tests.

REFERENCES

[1] D.C Lewis. Use of Insulating Concrete Forms in Residential Housing Construction. A Report Presented to the Graduate Committee of The Department of Civil Engineering in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for `The Degree of Master of Engineering. University of Florida, 2000.

[2] E.A. Alfy and A. Shalaby. A Comparative Study between Wall Bearing Steel Reinforced Expanded Polystyrene Composite Wall System and Insulated Concrete Forms Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences. 2010, 5(10), (296-305).

[3] K. Zaher. Polystyrene Lightweight Concrete (Polyconcrete), 15, 2001, (43-61).

[4] A. Hatami, and G. Morcous. Job-Built Insulated Concrete Forms (ICF) for Building Construction. 47th ASC Annual International Conference Proceedings, (1-8)